Experimental studies in cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy: overview and future directions
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ABSTRACT

Background: Cytoreductive surgery combined to hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC) is the standard of care for selected patients with peritoneal surface malignancies. Preclinical studies, especially when performed on animal models, provide a framework for improvement of this treatment. This study aims to present an overview of a single institution’s experience in this setting.

Methods/Results: Review of all preclinical studies from a single center was conducted using PubMed and author databases. Multiple experiments were conducted using pig and rat models. These studies examined the impact of different surgical techniques, such as the electrovaporization of tumor nodules and the use of different suture material, in the context of HIPEC. The pharmacokinetics of multiple intraperitoneal cytotoxic agents – namely oxaliplatin, raltitrexed, irinotecan and pemetrexed – were also studied.

Conclusion: Experimental studies help guide future directions for the treatment of peritoneal surface malignancies with hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy.

Introduction

For selected patients with peritoneal surface malignancies, cytoreductive surgery (CRS) combined to hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC) has become the standard of care. Although this approach entails morbidity rates ranging from 20.0% to 25.6%, it has been proven to be an effective treatment with encouraging survival results. Preclinical studies, especially when performed on animal models, provide a valuable framework to guide further directions in improvement of this treatment. Experimental studies on CRS and HIPEC from a single institution have been reviewed using PubMed and author databases. This review aims to summarize a single center’s experience in preclinical studies on CRS and HIPEC.

Studies on cytoreductive surgery

Effect of chemotherapy and heat on biomechanical properties of absorbable sutures

The quality of tissue repairs depends in part on the mechanical properties of the suture. As absorbable sutures are frequently used in cytoreductive surgery combined with HIPEC, we studied the effect of chemotherapy and heat on their biomechanical properties. Six different 3.0 absorbable sutures (Biosyn, Dexon II, Mason, Monocryl, PDS II, and Vicryl Plus) were tested. All suture strands were incubated for a 24-h period in 50 mL of saline (control), oxaliplatin...
(1 mg/mL), and mitomycin C (0.1 mg/mL) solutions at 37 and 45 °C. Suture loops were then loaded to failure using a servohydraulic testing machine. Data for tensile breaking force (TBF) and elongation rate were then collected for all samples. Under basal condition, Maxon was the strongest of all sutures with a TBF of 59.6 ± 4.3 N (p < 0.01), and no significant difference in TBF was observed between other sutures. Heat alone had no impact on the sutures’ biomechanical parameters. Exposure to mitomycin C at 45 °C did not significantly affect the sutures’ basal tensile properties, with Maxon remaining the strongest suture. Of all the absorbable sutures tested, Maxon is known to have the highest initial stiffness11. When incubated in oxaliplatin at 45 °C, the six suture types had a similar TBF. In all experimental conditions, multifilament sutures had a significantly lower elongation rate than monofilament sutures, and no correlations were demonstrated between elongation rate and the TBF of sutures. Those results were consistent with previous studies showing that elongation rate and the TBF of sutures. Those results were consistent with previous studies showing no difference for hyperthermia up to 44 °C21. Another study showed no difference in anastomotic healing after preoperative exposure to hyperthermia or radiation alone; however, the combination of both was detrimental22. The results of the current study seem to agree with the available literature, as harmful effects on tissue microvasculature after electrocautery lesions were only seen after certain HIPEC agents.

Electrocautery effect on intestinal vascularisation in a murine model

Electrovaporization of tumor nodules using the ball-tipped cautery is commonly used in cytoreductive surgery to spare as much bowel as possible from resection. Bowel perforation is the most feared complication of electrovaporization and is thought to be tightly linked to its effect on bowel wall microvasculature. We performed a study of the impact of electrocautery (intensity and duration) on intestinal microvasculature in a murine model. A significant decrease in bowel microvasculature occurred with increasing intensity (coag 30 W/cut 40 W versus cut 200 W for 1 second, p < 0.05) and duration of electrocautery injury (cut 40 W 1/2 seconds versus 5 seconds, p < 0.05). There was a 40% perforation rate when decreased bowel wall microvasculature was 25% or more. Despite similar electrocautery injury, a significantly greater microvasculature decrease was observed in the jejunum compared to ileum (p < 0.05). Unsurprisingly, this experiment proved that the decrease in microvasculature was greater with higher intensity and duration of electrocautery and was associated with more perforations in the experimental model. The jejunum seemed more vulnerable to electrocautery injury than the ileum15.

Impact of electrocautery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy on intestinal microvasculature in a murine model

We repeated the latter experiment in the context of HIPEC with oxaliplatin (OX) and mitomycin C (MMC). HIPEC with OX (460 mg/m2) and MMC (10 mg/m2) potentiated small bowel wall electrocautery injuries. We also found that MMC (35 mg/m2) reduced surrounding unharmed tissue microvasculature. This may explain previous studies results showing negative effect of MMC and OX on anastomotic healing16-19. Finally, there was no effect of hyperthermia alone on microvasculature20. A study on intestinal anastomosis breaking strength in rats showed no difference for hyperthermia up to 44 °C21. Another study showed no difference in anastomotic healing after preoperative exposure to hyperthermia or radiation alone; however, the combination of both was detrimental22. The results of the current study seem to agree with the available literature, as harmful effects on tissue microvasculature after electrocautery lesions were only seen after certain HIPEC agents.

Studies on HIPEC

A study of the effect of temperature on the pharmacokinetic profile of raltitrexed administered by intraperitoneal route in the rat

We used animal models to study the pharmacokinetics of cytotoxic drugs administered intraperitoneally. We first assessed the effect of heat on the pharmacokinetic profile of intraperitoneal (IP) raltitrexed in rats. The animals have been submitted to different doses of IP raltitrexed (2, 4, and 8 mg/m2) at different perfusion temperatures (37, 40 and 43 °C). After 25 minutes of perfusion, peritoneal fluid, portal and systemic blood were harvested and prepared for dosage of raltitrexed. Dosage was performed in a blinded fashion by High Performance Liquid Chromatography. The dose of raltitrexed administered was a determinant of its concentration in the peritoneal perfusate, the portal vein blood and systemic blood (p < 0.0002). A previous study on IP administration of raltitrexed in pig models showed similar results23. We noticed that the perfusate temperature had no significant effect on the drug concentration in the portal vein (p = 0.29) and in the systemic blood (p = 0.25). However, temperature increased significantly (p < 0.04) the intracellular absorption of raltitrexed. Because the effect of raltitrexed is proportional to its intracellular concentration, this drug is very interesting to use in the setting of HIPEC as increasing temperatures lead to increased intracellular concentration with no significant increase in systemic concentration, which may be responsible for toxicity24.

Pharmacokinetics of intraperitoneal irinotecan in a pig model

In another study using a pig model, we studied the metabolism and pharmacokinetics of irinotecan (CPT-11), as well as its free and glucuronized metabolite SN-38, when administered intraperitoneally in normothermia. This experiment showed that CPT-11 achieved a concentration...
at least 30 times higher in the peritoneal perfusate than in the systemic blood. Peritoneal exposure to active SN-38 began at the moment of CPT-11 peritoneal instillation. A fixed and small fraction of less than 0.1% of CPT-11 was converted into SN-38 (both in the peritoneal perfusate and systemic blood), underlying the importance of a sufficient initial IP dose of CPT-11. These results are comparable to those of a mice model and of a human study.

Rationale for heating oxaliplatin for the intraperitoneal treatment of peritoneal carcinomatosis: a study of the effect of heat on intraperitoneal oxaliplatin using a murine model

Using a murine model again, we studied the effect of heat on the pharmacokinetic profile of IP oxaliplatin. The rats were submitted to 3 different doses of IP oxaliplatin (460, 920, and 1840 mg/m²) at 3 different perfusion temperatures (37, 40, and 43° C) during 25 minutes. At the end of perfusion, samples in different compartments (peritoneum, portal blood, and systemic blood) were harvested and the concentrations of oxaliplatin were measured by high performance liquid chromatography. As the dose of IP oxaliplatin was increased, higher concentrations were observed in every compartment. This finding is in agreement with the results obtained by others. When the temperature of IP oxaliplatin was increased, there was an increase of its peritoneal concentration (linear regression 0.38; 95% CI: 0.28-0.47) and a decrease of its systemic blood (linear regression -1.08; 95% CI: -1.70 to -0.47) concentrations. Thus, proportionally to the dose administered, IP oxaliplatin lead to high concentrations of the drug in peritoneal tissues. Furthermore, heat enhanced peritoneal tissue concentration of oxaliplatin while reducing its systemic absorption. This last effect may possibly lead to decreased systemic toxicity.

Pharmacokinetics and the effect of heat on intraperitoneal pemetrexed using a murine model

In a similar experiment, we aimed to study the effect of pemetrexed dose and perfusion temperature on the resultant pemetrexed concentration in 3 different compartments (systemic circulation, portal circulation and peritoneal tissues). The rats were submitted to 3 different doses of IP pemetrexed (500, 1000 and 1500 mg/m²) combined with 3 different perfusion temperatures (37, 40 and 43° C) for a total duration of 25 minutes. With an increasing dose of IP pemetrexed, higher concentrations were measured in the 3 compartments tested. This is in accordance with another animal study of IP pemetrexed administration showing a 24-fold increase in the IP concentration of pemetrexed when compared with the IV route. In the peritoneal cells, the difference between IP doses of 500 and 1000 mg/m² (2.03 vs. 19.17 μg/g, p < 0.001) was greater than the difference between 1000 and 1500 mg/m² (19.17 vs. 22.80 μg/g, p = 0.027). When the perfusion temperature increased, we observed a proportional increase of pemetrexed concentration in both the portal and systemic compartments, while in the peritoneal cells, the pemetrexed concentration increased up to 40° C, after which it plateaued. Both heat and increasing doses of IP pemetrexed increased systemic and portal concentrations of the drug and enhanced its peritoneal cell concentration. However, for temperatures above 40° C, pemetrexed concentration reached a plateau in peritoneal cells.

Future directions

As cytoreductive surgery combined to hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy has become the standard of care for selected patients with peritoneal surface malignancies, initiatives at improving this therapeutic approach elicit a growing interest.

Homogenization of IP agent distribution in the peritoneal cavity could be refined by improvements in technologies in the delivery of HIPEC. Moreover, the use of open versus closed abdomen techniques could also have an impact on drug distribution and pharmacokinetics.

Potentiating the effect of the IP drug could improve its cytotoxic effect. Heat, dosage, and volume and output of perfusate are all variables of interest in this setting. Furthermore, the combination of IV chemotherapeutic agents to IP drugs as well as variations in intra-abdominal pressures could potentiate local cytotoxicity.

Reducing toxicity is another appealing area for improvement of CRS and HIPEC. Optimizing the duration of perfusion, refinement in the knowledge of pharmacokinetics of the different IP agents and better understanding of the impact of the solutions used for perfusion are all endpoints aiming at improving toxicity profiles of HIPEC.

Conclusion

In this review, we highlighted a few experimental studies in the field of peritoneal surface malignancies and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy. In the context of difficulties in performing randomized clinical trials, experimental studies can guide clinicians in establishing future study directions, at lower cost.
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